arXiv:1510.01661v3 [math.NT] 28 Jun 2016

ORDINARY PSEUDOREPRESENTATIONS AND MODULAR FORMS

PRESTON WAKE AND CARL WANG ERICKSON

Abstract. In this short note, we observe that the techniques of [WWE15] can be used to provide a new proof of some of the residually reducible modularity lifting results of Skinner and Wiles [SW99]. In these cases, we have found that a deformation ring of ordinary pseudorepresentations is equal to the Eisenstein local component of a Hida Hecke algebra. We also show that Vandiver's conjecture implies Sharifi's conjecture.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Background: Iwasawa theory and Hecke algebras 3
3. Ordinary Pseudorepresentations 6
4. New Results 9
5. Applications 13
References 17

1. Introduction

The key technical innovation behind our previous work [WWE15] was our definition of an *ordinary 2-dimensional pseudorepresentation* of $G_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$. Using this notion, we were able to study ordinary Galois deformations in the case where the residual representation is reducible. In particular, we constructed a universal ordinary pseudodeformation ring $R_{\bar{D}}^{\mathrm{ord}}$ with residual pseudorepresentation $\bar{D}$. We also showed that the Galois action on the Eisenstein part of the cohomology of modular curves gives rise to an ordinary pseudorepresentation valued in the Eisenstein component $\mathfrak{H}$ of the ordinary Hecke algebra. Studying deformations of ordinary pseudorepresentations, we showed that, if Greenberg's conjecture holds, then certain characteristic 0 localizations $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $\mathfrak{H}$ are Gorenstein. Under the same assumption, we also proved an isomorphism $(R_{\bar{D}}^{\mathrm{ord}})_{\mathfrak{p}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

In this note, we show that the methods of [WWE15] can be extended to the whole Eisenstein component $\mathfrak{H}$, provided that we make stronger assumptions on class groups. Namely, we have to assume that the plus-part X^+ of the Iwasawa class group of the relevant cyclotomic field vanishes. When the tame level N is 1, then this is known as Vandiver's conjecture. When $N > 1$, there are examples where $X^+ \neq 0$, but is still often the case that $X^+ = 0$. Assuming $X^+ = 0$, we get an isomorphism $R_{\bar{D}}^{\mathrm{ord}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{H}$ (Theorem 4.2.8). As a consequence, we have a new technique to establish the residually reducible ordinary modularity theorem of

Skinner and Wiles [SW99] over $\mathbb{Q}$, in some cases (Theorem 5.2.3). We also derive new results on Gorensteinness of Hecke algebras (Corollary 5.1.1) and prove new results toward Sharifi's conjecture (Corollary 5.1.2). In particular, we prove that $\mathfrak{H}$ is Gorenstein when $X^+ = 0$, an implication that was known previously only after assuming Sharifi's conjecture [Wak15b]. Previous partial results in this direction by Skinner-Wiles [SW97] and Ohta [Oht05] require much stronger conditions on class groups.

As well as proving these new results, we review the most novel parts of [WWE15]. In this way, this note may be serve as an introduction to [WWE15].

1.1. **Ordinary pseudorepresentations.** A 2-dimensional pseudorepresentation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with values in a ring A is the data of two functions $\{\mathrm{tr}, \det\}$ that satisfy conditions as if they were the trace and determinant of a representation $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(A)$. The (fine) moduli of pseudorepresentations may be thought of as the coarse moduli of Galois representations produced by geometric invariant theory [WE15, Thm. A]. In this respect, our results suggest that coarse moduli rings of Galois representations are most naturally comparable with Hecke algebras. Indeed, most previous $R = T$ theorems have been established where R is a deformation ring for a residually irreducible Galois representation, in which case the fine and coarse moduli of Galois representations are identical.

The ordinary condition is somewhat subtle when applied to pseudorepresentations. For example, if one thinks about the case when A is a field, a representation $\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(A)$ is defined to be ordinary when $\rho|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ is reducible with a twist-unramified quotient. While $\{\mathrm{tr}\rho|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}, \det \rho|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}\}$ knows nothing about which of the two Jordan-Hölder factors is the quotient, $D_\rho = \{\mathrm{tr}\rho, \det \rho\}$ can often distinguish them. This allows for the definition of ordinary pseudorepresentation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, which we extend to non-field coefficients. We overview this and other background from [WWE15] in §§2-3.

1.2. **Outline of the proof.** The étale cohomology of compactified modular curves defines a $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$-module H over the cuspidal quotient $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{H}$. However, H is a representation (i.e. locally free $\mathfrak{h}$-module) if and only if $\mathfrak{h}$ is Gorenstein, which is not always true. Nonetheless, H always induces an ordinary $\mathfrak{h}$-valued pseudorepresentation deforming the residual pseudorepresentation $\bar{D}$. This pseudorepresentation extends to $\mathfrak{H}$, resulting in a surjection $R^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{H}$.

This map is naturally a morphism of augmented Λ-algebras, where Λ is the Iwasawa algebra. The augmentation ideals

$$\mathcal{I} := \ker(\mathfrak{H} \twoheadrightarrow \Lambda), \qquad \mathcal{J} := \ker(R^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}} \twoheadrightarrow \Lambda)$$

correspond to the Eisenstein family of Λ-adic modular forms and the reducible locus of Galois representations, respectively. We can show that certain Iwasawa class groups surject onto $\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2$, which is the cotangent module relative to the reducible family. The Vandiver-type assumption $X^+ = 0$ is used to show that one of the relevant Iwasawa class groups is cyclic. Using a version of Wiles's numerical criterion [Wil95, Appendix], with the class groups playing the role of Wiles's η, we can show that this forces $R^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ to be an isomorphism.

One novel aspect of this proof is that we are able to control a "cotangent space" $\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2$ of a pseudodeformation ring in terms of Galois cohomology. Moreover, we use Galois cohomology groups with coefficients in Λ, while the usual approach

works over a field. Such control is critical to proving $R = T$ theorems in the residually irreducible case, and $R = T$ theorems for pseudorepresentations were lacking because this control was not as available. In our situation, the relevant Galois cohomology is determined by class groups.

1.3. **Acknowledgements.** The authors would like to thank Romyar Sharifi and Eric Urban for helpful conversations. Both authors would like to recognize the Simons Foundation for support in the form of AMS-Simons travel grants.

2. Background: Iwasawa theory and Hecke algebras

This section is a brief synopsis of Sections 2, 3 and 6 of [WWE15]. We overview background information from Iwasawa theory and ordinary Λ-adic Hecke algebras and modular forms.

2.1. **Iwasawa algebra and Iwasawa modules.** We review Section 2 of [WWE15].

Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime number, and let N be an integer such that $p \nmid N\phi(N)$. Let

$$\theta : (\mathbb{Z}/Np\mathbb{Z})^\times \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$$

be an even character. Let $\chi = \omega^{-1}\theta$, where

$$\omega : (\mathbb{Z}/Np\mathbb{Z})^\times \to (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times \to \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$$

is the Teichmüller character. Our assumption on N implies that each of these characters is a Teichmüller lift of a character valued in a field extension $\mathbb{F}$ of $\mathbb{F}_p$. By abuse of notation, we also use θ, χ, ω to refer to these characters.

We assume that θ satisfies the following conditions:

(a) θ is primitive,
(b) if $\chi|_{(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times} = 1$, then $\chi|_{(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times}(p) \neq 1$, and
(c) if $N = 1$, then $\theta \neq \omega^2$.

A subscript θ or χ on a module refers to the eigenspace for an action of $(\mathbb{Z}/Np\mathbb{Z})^\times$. A superscript $\pm$ will denote the ± 1-eigenspace for complex conjugation. Let S denote the set of primes dividing Np along with the infinite place, and let $G_{\mathbb{Q},S}$ be the unramified outside S Galois group. We fix a decomposition group $G_p \subset G_{\mathbb{Q},S}$ and let $I_p \subset G_p$ denote the inertia subgroup. Let κ_{cyc} denote the p-adic cyclotomic character.

Fix a system (ζ_{Np^r}) of primitive Np^r-th roots of unity such that $\zeta_{Np^{r+1}}^p = \zeta_{Np^r}$ for all r. Let $\mathbb{Q}_\infty = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{Np^\infty})$ and let $\Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_\infty/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{Np}))$.

Let $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{Np^r}))$ be the class group, and let

$$X = \varprojlim \mathrm{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{Np^r}))\{p\}.$$

There is action of Γ on X. By class field theory, $X = \mathrm{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_\infty)$ where L is the maximal pro-p, abelian, unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_\infty$. A closely related object is $\mathfrak{X} = \mathrm{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q}_\infty)$ where M is the maximal pro-p abelian extension unramified outside Np.

Let $\mathbb{Z}_{p,N} = \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}/Np^r\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\Lambda_\theta = \mathbb{Z}_p[\![\mathbb{Z}_{p,N}^\times]\!]_\theta$, where we write Λ for Λ_θ when θ is implicit. This Λ is a local component of the semilocal ring $\mathbb{Z}_p[\![\mathbb{Z}_{p,N}^\times]\!]$ and is abstractly isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}[\![\Gamma]\!] \simeq \mathcal{O}[\![T]\!]$, where $\mathcal{O}$ is the extension of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ generated by the values of θ. Notice that the action on (ζ_{Np^r}) gives an isomorphism $\Gamma \simeq \ker(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \to (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times)$.

Let $M \mapsto M^\#$ and $M \mapsto M(r)$ be the functors on $\mathbb{Z}_p[\![\mathbb{Z}_{p,N}^\times]\!]$-modules as defined in [Wak15a, §2.1.3]. Namely, $M^\# = M(r) = M$ as $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules, but $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{p,N}^\times$ acts on $M^\#$ (resp. $M(r)$) as γ^{-1} (resp. $\kappa_{\mathrm{cyc}}^r(\gamma)\gamma$) acts on M. We sometimes, especially when using duality, are forced to consider $\mathbb{Z}_p[\![\mathbb{Z}_{p,N}^\times]\!]$-modules with characters other than θ, but we use these functors to make the actions factor through Λ so we can treat all modules uniformly.

We define $\xi_\chi \in \Lambda$ to be a generator of the principal ideal $\mathrm{Char}_\Lambda(X_\chi(1))$. By the Iwasawa Main Conjecture, they may be chosen to be power series associated to Kubota-Leopoldt p-adic L-functions.

Consider the Λ-valued character $\langle - \rangle : G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma \subset \Lambda^\times$, where $G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma$ is the quotient map. We define $\Lambda[G_{\mathbb{Q},S}]$-modules $\Lambda^{\langle - \rangle}$ and $\Lambda^\#$ to be Λ with $G_{\mathbb{Q},S}$ acting by $\langle - \rangle$ and $\langle - \rangle^{-1}$, respectively.

2.2. **Duality and consequences.** We review some relevant parts of Section 6 of [WWE15]. To compare conditions on various class groups, we use the following Λ-adic version of Poitou-Tate duality. It is a generalization of [WWE15, Prop. 6.2.1].

Here, K is a number field, and U is an open dense subset of $\mathrm{Spec}(O_K[1/p])$. The compactly supported cohomology $H^\bullet_{(c)}(U, -)$ is defined to be the cohomology of

$$\mathrm{Cone}\left(C^\bullet(U, -) \to \bigoplus_{v \notin U} C^\bullet(K_v, -) \right)$$

where $C^\bullet(U, -)$ and $C^\bullet(K_v, -)$ are the standard complexes that compute Galois cohomology.

Proposition 2.2.1. *Let T be a finitely generated projective Λ-module equipped with a continuous action of G_K, unramified at places outside U, and let M be a finitely generated Λ-module. Then there is a quasi-isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{(c)}(U, T \otimes_\Lambda M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{RHom}_\Lambda(\mathrm{R}\Gamma(U, T^*(1)), M)[-3].$$

There is a similar quasi-isomorphism when $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{(c)}$ and $\mathrm{R}\Gamma$ are swapped, i.e.

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma(U, T \otimes_\Lambda M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{RHom}_\Lambda(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{(c)}(U, T^*(1)), M)[-3].$$

Here T^* is the dual representation $\mathrm{Hom}(T, \Lambda)$.

Proof. We prove the first quasi-isomorphism. The proof of the second is similar.

For the case where $M = \Lambda$, see [Nek06, Prop. 5.4.3, pg. 99] or [FK12, §1.6.12]. Then we have quasi-isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{(c)}(U, T) \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_\Lambda M &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{RHom}_\Lambda(\mathrm{R}\Gamma(U, T^*(1)), \Lambda)[-3] \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_\Lambda M \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{RHom}_\Lambda(\mathrm{R}\Gamma(U, T^*(1)), M)[-3] \end{aligned}$$

where the first comes from the $M = \Lambda$ case, and the second is standard (for example [Wei94, Exer. 10.8.3]). To prove the proposition, we are reduced to producing a quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{(c)}(U, T) \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_\Lambda M \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{(c)}(U, T \otimes_\Lambda M). \tag{2.2.2}$$

This is essentially done in [FK12, Prop. 1.6.5(3)]. Indeed, [FK12, Lem. 1.6.8] (or its compactly-supported variant) produces a homomorphism as in (2.2.2) for any complex of Λ-modules M, and shows that it is a quasi-isomorphism when M is

single finitely generated projective module. Since M is a finitely generated module over the regular local ring Λ, there is a quasi-isomorphism $M \xrightarrow{\sim} P_\bullet$ with $P_\bullet$ a finite complex of finitely generated projective modules, and we can prove (2.2.2) by induction on the length of $P_\bullet$. □

The theorem yields spectral sequences with second page

$$E_2^{i,j} = \mathrm{Ext}^i_\Lambda(H^{3-j}(U, T^*(1)), M) \implies H^{i+j}_{(c)}(U, T \otimes_\Lambda M), \tag{2.2.3}$$

$$E_2^{i,j} = \mathrm{Ext}^i_\Lambda(H^{3-j}_{(c)}(U, T^*(1)), M) \implies H^{i+j}(U, T \otimes_\Lambda M). \tag{2.2.4}$$

We record the influence of the assumption that $X_\theta = 0$. In the proof, we make use of the following lemma on the structure of Λ-modules.

Lemma 2.2.5. *Let M be a finitely generated Λ-module. Say that M is type 0 if M is free, type 1 if M is torsion and has projective dimension 1, and type 2 if M is finite. Then M is type i if and only if $\mathrm{Ext}^j_\Lambda(M, \Lambda) = 0$ for all $j \neq i$. Moreover, M is type 1 if and only if M is torsion and has no non-zero finite submodule.*

Proof. See [Jan89, §3]. □

The following is well-known to experts.

Proposition 2.2.6. *$X_\theta = 0$ if and only if $\mathfrak{X}^\#_{\chi^{-1}}(1)$ is a free Λ-module of rank 1.*

Proof. As in [WWE15, Cor. 6.3.1], we have $X_\theta = H^2(\mathbb{Z}[1/Np], \Lambda^\#(1))$. Since $\mathfrak{X}$ is the Pontryagin dual of $H^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/Np], \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$, (classical) Poitou-Tate duality implies that

$$\mathfrak{X} = \varprojlim H^2_{(c)}(\mathbb{Z}[1/Np, \zeta_{Np^r}], \mathbb{Z}_p(1)).$$

We can then deduce $\mathfrak{X}^\#_{\chi^{-1}}(1) = H^2_{(c)}(\mathbb{Z}[1/Np], \Lambda^{\langle -\rangle})$, as in [WWE15, Cor. 6.1.3].

Analyzing spectral sequence (2.2.4) above with $T = \Lambda^{\langle -\rangle}$ and $M = \Lambda$, we see that $E_2^{i,j} = 0$ for cohomological dimension reasons unless $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. We find

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_\Lambda(\mathfrak{X}^\#_{\chi^{-1}}(1), \Lambda) = X_\theta, \qquad \mathrm{Ext}^2_\Lambda(\mathfrak{X}^\#_{\chi^{-1}}(1)), \Lambda) = 0.$$

Then $\mathfrak{X}^\#_{\chi^{-1}}(1)$ is a free Λ-module if and only if $X_\theta = 0$ by Lemma 2.2.5.

The fact that the rank is then 1 follows from class field theory and Iwasawa's theorem. Indeed, class field theory implies that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to U^\#_{\chi^{-1}}(1) \to \mathfrak{X}^\#_{\chi^{-1}}(1) \to X^\#_{\chi^{-1}}(1) \to 0,$$

where U is an Iwasawa local units group, and Iwasawa's theorem implies that $U^\#_{\chi^{-1}}(1)$ is free of rank 1 over Λ (see [WWE15, §2.1] and the references given there). Since $X^\#_{\chi^{-1}}(1)$ is Λ-torsion, this implies that $\mathfrak{X}^\#_{\chi^{-1}}(1)$ has rank 1. □

2.3. **Hecke algebras.** We review Section 3 of [WWE15]. Let

$$\tilde{H}' = \varprojlim H^1(Y_1(Np^r), \mathbb{Z}_p)^{\mathrm{ord}}_\theta, \ H' = \varprojlim H^1(X_1(Np^r), \mathbb{Z}_p)^{\mathrm{ord}}_\theta$$

where the subscript θ denotes the eigenspace for the diamond operators. Let $\mathfrak{H}'$ and $\mathfrak{h}'$ denote the Hida Hecke algebras acting on $\tilde{H}'$ and H', respectively. There is a unique maximal ideal of $\mathfrak{H}'$ containing the Eisenstein ideal for θ; let $\mathfrak{H}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ be the localizations of $\mathfrak{H}'$ and $\mathfrak{h}'$ at the Eisenstein maximal ideal, and let $\tilde{H} = \tilde{H}' \otimes_{\mathfrak{H}'} \mathfrak{H}$ and $H = H' \otimes_{\mathfrak{h}'} \mathfrak{h}$. Let $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathfrak{H}$ be the Eisenstein ideal, and let $I \subset \mathfrak{h}$ be the image of $\mathcal{I}$.

By Hida theory, each of $\tilde{H}$, H, $\mathfrak{H}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ is finite and flat over Λ. There are also canonical isomorphisms of $\mathfrak{H}$-modules $\mathfrak{H}/\mathcal{I} \cong \Lambda$, $\mathfrak{h}/I \cong \Lambda/\xi_\chi$ and $\mathcal{I} \cong I$ (see [WWE15, Prop. 3.2.5]), making $\mathfrak{H}$ an augmented Λ-algebra.

3. Ordinary Pseudorepresentations

We define ordinary pseudorepresentations and show that they are representable by an ordinary pseudodeformation ring $R_{\bar{D}}^{\mathrm{ord}}$, recapping results of [WWE15]. In particular, we will review background on pseudorepresentations, Cayley-Hamilton algebras, and generalized matrix algebras from §5 of *loc. cit.*

We highlight the following important points:

- The definition is "not local," in the sense that it does not have the form "$D : G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \to A$ is ordinary if $D|_{G_p}$ is ordinary."
- When A is a field, we can say that D is ordinary if there exists an ordinary $G_{\mathbb{Q},S}$-representation ρ such that D is induced by ρ.
- While not every pseudorepresentation comes from a representation, we fix this problem by broadening the category of representations to include generalized matrix algebra-valued representations (*GMA representations*). We first define ordinary GMA representations, and then say a pseudorepresentation is ordinary when there exists an ordinary GMA representation inducing it.

We fix some notation. We use the letter ψ to denote the functor that associates to a representation its induced pseudorepresentation. Let $\bar{D} = \psi(\omega^{-1} \oplus \theta^{-1})$, which is the $\mathbb{F}$-valued residual pseudorepresentation induced by the Galois action on H. Write $R_{\bar{D}}$ for the pseudodeformation ring for $\bar{D}$ [WWE15, §5.4] with universal object $D_{\bar{D}}^u : G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \to R_{\bar{D}}$. In this section, A will denote a Noetherian local $W(\mathbb{F})$-algebra with residue field $\mathbb{F}$. If $a \in A$, then $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{F}$ denotes the image of a.

3.1. Representations valued in generalized matrix algebras.

As in [BC09, §1.3], we say that a *generalized matrix algebra over* A is an associative A-algebra E such that

$$E \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & A \end{pmatrix}.$$

This means that $E \cong A \oplus B \oplus C \oplus A$ as A-modules, for some A-modules B and C, and there is an A-linear map $B \otimes_A C \to A$ such that the multiplication in E is given by 2-by-2 matrix multiplication. In this case, A is called the *scalar subring* of E.

A *GMA representation* with coefficients in A and residual pseudorepresentation $\bar{D}$ is a homomorphism $\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \to E^\times$, such that E is a GMA, and such that, in matrix coordinates, ρ is given by

$$\sigma \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{1,1}(\sigma) & \rho_{1,2}(\sigma) \\ \rho_{2,1}(\sigma) & \rho_{2,2}(\sigma) \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.1.1}$$

with $\overline{\rho_{1,1}(\sigma)} = \omega^{-1}(\sigma)$, $\overline{\rho_{2,2}(\sigma)} = \theta^{-1}(\sigma)$, and $\overline{\rho_{1,2}(\sigma)\rho_{2,1}(\sigma)} = 0$. We will fix this ordering of idempotents on all GMAs in what follows.

Given such a ρ, there is an induced A-valued pseudorepresentation, denoted $\psi_{\mathrm{GMA}}(\rho) : G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \to A$, given by $\mathrm{tr}(\rho) = \rho_{1,1} + \rho_{2,2}$ and $\det(\rho) = \rho_{1,1}\rho_{2,2} - \rho_{1,2}\rho_{2,1}$.

3.2. **Universality.** A *Cayley-Hamilton representation* over A with residual pseudorepresentation $\bar{D}$ is the data of a pair $(\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \to E^\times, D : E \to A)$, where E is an associative algebra such that $D \circ \rho$ is a pseudorepresentation deforming $\bar{D}$. These data must satisfy an additional Cayley-Hamilton condition that, for all $x \in E$, x must satisfy the characteristic polynomial associated to x by D. If $\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \to E^\times$ is a GMA representation, then $(\rho, \psi_{\mathrm{GMA}}(\rho))$ is a Cayley-Hamilton representation.

For our purposes, the important properties of Cayley-Hamilton representations are the following (see [WE15, Prop. 3.2.2]).

- There is a universal Cayley-Hamilton representation $(\rho^u : G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \to E_{\bar{D}}^\times, D^u : E_{\bar{D}} \to R_{\bar{D}})$ with residual pseudorepresentation $\bar{D}$, and its induced pseudorepresentation $D^u \circ \rho^u$ is equal to the universal deformation $D^u_{\bar{D}}$ of $\bar{D}$.
- $E_{\bar{D}}$ is finite as an $R_{\bar{D}}$-module, and ρ^u is continuous for the natural adic topology from $R_{\bar{D}}$ on $E_{\bar{D}}$.
- $E_{\bar{D}}$ admits a unique $R_{\bar{D}}$-GMA structure such that ρ^u is a continuous GMA representation over $R_{\bar{D}}$.

In particular, any Cayley-Hamilton representation with residual pseudorepresentation $\bar{D}$ is a GMA representation with residual pseudorepresentation $\bar{D}$.

We will write

$$E_{\bar{D}} \cong \begin{pmatrix} R_{\bar{D}} & B^u \\ C^u & R_{\bar{D}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.2.1}$$

for the decomposition of $E_{\bar{D}}$ as in (3.1.1), and write $\rho^u_{i,j}$ for the corresponding components of ρ^u. Similarly, for any GMA representation ρ deforming $\bar{D}$, we will write $\rho_{i,j}$ for the induced component decomposition.

3.3. **Reducibility.** It will be important to understand the notion of a *reducible pseudorepresentation* and the *reducibility ideal* in A for an A-valued pseudodeformation $D : G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \to A$ of $\bar{D}$. We call D *reducible* if $D = \psi(\chi_1 \oplus \chi_2)$ for characters $\chi_i : G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \to A^\times$ such that $\bar{\chi}_1 = \omega^{-1}$ and $\bar{\chi}_2 = \theta^{-1}$. Otherwise, D is called *irreducible*. Equivalently, D is reducible if $D = \psi_{\mathrm{GMA}}(\rho)$ for some GMA representation ρ with scalar ring A such that $\rho_{1,2}(G_{\mathbb{Q},S}) \cdot \rho_{2,1}(G_{\mathbb{Q},S})$ is zero.

Since $\rho^u_{1,2}(G_{\mathbb{Q},S})$ and $\rho^u_{2,1}(G_{\mathbb{Q},S})$ generate B^u and C^u, respectively, as $R_{\bar{D}}$-modules, D is reducible exactly when the image of $B^u \otimes_{R_{\bar{D}}} C^u$ in $R_{\bar{D}}$ under multiplication vanishes under $R_{\bar{D}} \to A$. Consequently, we call the image of $B^u \otimes_{R_{\bar{D}}} C^u$ in $R_{\bar{D}}$ the *reducibility ideal* of $R_{\bar{D}}$, and its image in A is the reducibility ideal for D.

3.4. **Ordinary GMA representations.** We will say that a representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ on a 2-dimensional p-adic vector space V is *ordinary* if there exists a 1-dimensional quotient representation $V \twoheadrightarrow W$ such that $W(1)$ is unramified. A representation ρ of $G_{\mathbb{Q},S}$ is *ordinary* if $\rho|_{G_p}$ is ordinary. This notion of ordinariness is relatively restrictive compared to other uses of the term, but it will suit our purpose of studying Galois representations associated to ordinary modular forms.

Relative to the ordering of factors in (3.1.1), we have the following definition of ordinary GMA representation.

Definition 3.4.1. Let $\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \to E^\times$ be a GMA representation with scalar ring A and induced pseudorepresentation $\bar{D}$. We call ρ *ordinary* provided that

(1) $\rho_{1,2}(G_p) = 0$, and
(2) $\rho_{1,1}|_{I_p} \simeq \kappa_{\mathrm{cyc}}^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} A$

where κ_{cyc} is the p-adic cyclotomic character.

Remark 3.4.2. The condition that ω and θ are locally p-distinguished, i.e. $\omega|_{G_p} \neq \theta|_{G_p}$, is critically necessary to making this definition sensible. This is equivalent to the assumption (b) of §2.1.

Example 3.4.3. The motivating example of an ordinary GMA representation is the $\mathfrak{h}[G_{\mathbb{Q},S}]$-module H given by the cohomology of modular curves. There is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{h}$-modules $H = H^+ \oplus H^- \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^\vee$, where $\mathfrak{h}^\vee$ is the dualizing module of $\mathfrak{h}$ (see [WWE15, §3.4]). Because $\mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathfrak{h}^\vee) \cong \mathfrak{h}$, we get a GMA representation $\rho_H : G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathfrak{h}}(H)$; moreover, it is an ordinary GMA representation with residual pseudorepresentation $\bar{D}$ when the components are ordered as follows.

$$\mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{h}}(H) \cong \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{h}}(H^-) & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h}}(H^+, H^-) \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h}}(H^-, H^+) & \mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{h}}(H^+) \end{pmatrix} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{h} & \mathfrak{h}^\vee \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathfrak{h}^\vee, \mathfrak{h}) & \mathfrak{h} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here is a summary of the results of [WWE15] on ordinary GMA representations.

Proposition 3.4.4. *(1) There is a* universal ordinary GMA $E_{\bar{D}}^{\mathrm{ord}}$*, a quotient of* $E_{\bar{D}}$*, such that a GMA representation* $G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \to E^\times$ *with residual pseudorepresentation* $\bar{D}$ *is ordinary if and only if its map* $E_{\bar{D}} \to E$ *factors through* $E_{\bar{D}}^{\mathrm{ord}}$*.*

(2) There is a universal reducible ordinary GMA $E_{\bar{D}}^{\mathrm{red}}$*, a quotient of* $E_{\bar{D}}$*, such that a GMA representation* $G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \to E^\times$ *with residual pseudorepresentation* $\bar{D}$ *is reducible ordinary if and only if its map* $E_{\bar{D}} \to E$ *factors through* $E_{\bar{D}}^{\mathrm{red}}$*.*

(3) $\mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{h}}(H)$ *has a unique* $\mathfrak{h}$*-GMA structure such that* $\rho_H : G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathfrak{h}}(H)$ *is an ordinary GMA representation.*

Proof. Statement (1) comes from Proposition 5.9.5, (2) comes from Proposition 7.3.1, and (3) comes from Theorem 7.1.2 of [WWE15]. □

3.5. **Ordinary pseudorepresentations.** Having established a notion of ordinary GMA representation, we can now define ordinary pseudorepresentations.

Definition 3.5.1. Let $D : G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \to A$ be a pseudorepresentation deforming $\bar{D}$. Then we call D *ordinary* if there exists an ordinary GMA representation $\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \to E^\times$ with scalar ring A such that $D = \psi_{\mathrm{GMA}}(\rho)$.

We write $R_{\bar{D}}^{\mathrm{ord}}$ for the scalar ring of $E_{\bar{D}}^{\mathrm{ord}}$, which admits a pseudorepresentation $D_{\bar{D}}^{\mathrm{ord}} : G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \to R_{\bar{D}}^{\mathrm{ord}}$ defined as the composition of $D_{\bar{D}}^u : G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \to R_{\bar{D}}$ with $R_{\bar{D}} \twoheadrightarrow R_{\bar{D}}^{\mathrm{ord}}$. We have shown in [WWE15, Thm. 5.10.4] that the ring $R_{\bar{D}}^{\mathrm{ord}}$ represents the functor of ordinary pseudodeformations of $\bar{D}$, with universal object $D_{\bar{D}}^{\mathrm{ord}}$. We write $\mathcal{J} \subset R_{\bar{D}}^{\mathrm{ord}}$ for the reducibility ideal of $D_{\bar{D}}^{\mathrm{ord}}$.

Remark 3.5.2. The reason for introducing GMA representations is to make Definition 3.5.1: not every pseudodeformation of $\bar{D}$ comes from a representation, but every pseudodeformation comes from some GMA representation.

By definition, we see that the modular pseudorepresentation $\psi_{\mathrm{GMA}}(\rho_H) : G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \to \mathfrak{h}$ arising from ρ_H (as defined in Example 3.4.3) is ordinary. It can be extended to an $\mathfrak{H}$-valued pseudorepresentation with the following properties.

Proposition 3.5.3. *There is a pseudorepresentation* $D_H : G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \to \mathfrak{H}$ *that is ordinary, deforms* $\bar{D}$*, and satisfies* $D_H \otimes_{\mathfrak{H}} \mathfrak{h} = \psi_{\mathrm{GMA}}(\rho_H)$*. The corresponding map* $\phi : R_{\bar{D}}^{\mathrm{ord}} \to \mathfrak{H}$ *is:*

(1) *a map of augmented Λ-algebras, where the augmentation ideals are the reducibility ideals $\mathcal{J} \subset R^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}}$ of $D^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}}$ and $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathfrak{H}$ of D_H, and*
(2) *surjective.*

Proof. The pseudorepresentation D_H is constructed by gluing $\psi_{\mathrm{GMA}}(\rho_H)$ together with the Eisenstein pseudorepresentation, and it follows that $D_H \otimes_{\mathfrak{H}} \mathfrak{h} = \psi_{\mathrm{GMA}}(\rho_H)$ and that the reducibility ideal is $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathfrak{H}$ ([WWE15, Cor. 7.1.3]).

Then (2) follows from [WWE15, Lem. 7.1.4], and (1) follows from the fact that $R^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}}/\mathcal{J} \cong \Lambda$ [WWE15, Prop. 7.3.1]. □

Consequently, the functor of reducible ordinary pseudorepresentations is represented by Λ, and Λ is the scalar ring of $E^{\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{D}}$.

4. New Results

With the overview of [WWE15] complete, we now prove the main theorems.

4.1. **Reducible representations and class groups.** Let us write $E^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}}$ and $E^{\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{D}}$ in GMA form as

$$E^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}} \cong \begin{pmatrix} R^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}} & B^{\mathrm{ord}} \\ C^{\mathrm{ord}} & R^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad E^{\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{D}} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & B^{\mathrm{red}} \\ C^{\mathrm{red}} & \Lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

Our goal is to control $\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2$ using Galois cohomology. For this, we use the surjection $B^{\mathrm{ord}} \otimes_{R^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}}} C^{\mathrm{ord}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{J}$ discussed in §3.3. We also use the fact that the map $E^{\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{D}} \to E^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}}/\mathcal{J}E^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}}$, which exists because the target receives a reducible ordinary GMA representation, is surjective [WWE15, Prop. 7.3.1(4)]. Composing these surjections, we have

$$B^{\mathrm{red}} \otimes_\Lambda C^{\mathrm{red}} \twoheadrightarrow B^{\mathrm{ord}}/\mathcal{J} \otimes_\Lambda C^{\mathrm{ord}}/J \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2 \tag{4.1.1}$$

(cf. [WWE15, Prop. 5.7.2]). The main result is the following

Proposition 4.1.2. *$E^{\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{D}}$ is determined as follows.*

(1) *There exists a natural isomorphism*

$$X_\chi(1) \xrightarrow{\sim} B^{\mathrm{red}}.$$

(2) *Assume that $X_\theta = 0$. Then there exists a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathfrak{X}^{\#}_{\chi^{-1}}(1) \xrightarrow{\sim} C^{\mathrm{red}}.$$

Moreover, C^{red} is free of rank 1 over Λ.

First, some lemmas. We will need the following notation, which is particular to §4.1. We will abbreviate $H^i(\mathbb{Z}[1/Np], -)$ and $H^i_{(c)}(\mathbb{Z}[1/Np], -)$ to $H^i(-)$ and $H^i_{(c)}(-)$, respectively. For any integer n, we will let $H^i_n(-)$ denote $\bigoplus_{\ell|n} H^i(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, -)$, where ℓ runs over prime divisors of n. Likewise, for a Λ-module M (with trivial $G_{\mathbb{Q},S}$-action), we will write $M^\#$ for $\Lambda^\# \otimes_\Lambda M$ and write $M^{\langle -\rangle}$ for $\Lambda^{\langle -\rangle} \otimes_\Lambda M$.

Lemma 4.1.3. *For any finitely generated Λ-module M and any $\ell \mid N$ we have $H^1_\ell(M^{\langle -\rangle}(-1)) = 0$. In particular, $H^1_{Np}(M^{\langle -\rangle}(-1)) = H^1_p(M^{\langle -\rangle}(-1))$.*

Proof. Let $\bar{M} = M/\mathfrak{m}_\Lambda M$, where $\mathfrak{m}_\Lambda$ is the maximal ideal of Λ. By Nakayama's lemma, it suffices to show that $H^1_\ell(\bar{M}^{\langle -\rangle}(-1)) = 0$. By the Euler characteristic formula and local Tate duality, we have

$$\dim(H^1_\ell(\bar{M}^{\langle -\rangle}(-1))) = \dim(H^0_\ell(\bar{M}^{\langle -\rangle}(-1))) + \dim(H^0_\ell(\bar{M}^{\#}(2))). \tag{4.1.4}$$

The Galois action on $\bar{M}^{\langle -\rangle}(-1)$ and $\bar{M}^{\#}(2)$ is via the characters $\theta\omega^{-1}$ and $\theta^{-1}\omega^2$, respectively. Both these characters are non-trivial at ℓ because ω is trival at ℓ and we assume that θ is primitive. This implies that the H^0_ℓ groups appearing in (4.1.4) are 0, so $H^1_\ell(\bar{M}^{\langle -\rangle}(-1)) = 0$. □

Lemma 4.1.5. *Functorially in finitely generated Λ-modules M, we have isomorphisms*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(B^{\mathrm{red}}, M) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1_{(c)}(M^{\langle -\rangle}(-1)) \tag{4.1.6}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(C^{\mathrm{red}}, M) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(M^{\#}(1)). \tag{4.1.7}$$

Proof. For (4.1.7), [BC09, Thm. 1.5.5] tells us that there is a natural Λ-linear injective map $i_C : \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(C^{\mathrm{red}}, M) \hookrightarrow H^1(M^{\#}(1))$ when M is a cyclic module. But nothing about the proof depends upon M being cyclic, so we have injectivity in general.

An element of $H^1(M^{\#}(1))$ results in a short exact sequence of $\Lambda[G_{\mathbb{Q},S}]$-modules $0 \to M^{\#} \to \mathcal{E} \to \Lambda(-1) \to 0$. Choose an element $x \in \mathcal{E}$ mapping to $1 \in \Lambda$ and write $f : G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \to M$ for the map $\gamma \mapsto \gamma \cdot x - \kappa_{\mathrm{cyc}}^{-1}(\gamma)x$. Then we have a GMA representation

$$\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & 0 \\ M & \Lambda \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \rho(\gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_{\mathrm{cyc}}^{-1}(\gamma) & 0 \\ f(\gamma) & \langle\gamma\rangle^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the universal property of $E^{\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{D}}$, there exists a unique map $C^{\mathrm{red}} \to M$ induced by this representation. This construction is an inverse to the construction of i_C in [BC09, Thm. 1.5.5], so we have proved that (4.1.7) is an isomorphism.

Recall the definition of $H^1_{(c)}$ as the cohomology of a mapping cone. Note that $H^0_{Np}(M^{\langle -\rangle}(-1)) = 0$ for any M. This follows from the fact that the residual character χ is non-trivial on decomposition groups at all primes dividing Np. Consequently, $H^1_{(c)}(M^{\langle -\rangle}(-1))$ is naturally isomorphic to the subset of $H^1(M^{\langle -\rangle}(-1))$ whose restriction to $H^1_{Np}(M^{\langle -\rangle}(-1))$ is zero. By Lemma 4.1.3, $H^1_{Np}(M^{\langle -\rangle}(-1)) = H^1_p(M^{\langle -\rangle}(-1))$.

We have an injection

$$i_B : \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(B^{\mathrm{red}}, M) \hookrightarrow H^1_{(c)}(M^{\langle -\rangle}(-1)) \subset H^1(M^{\langle -\rangle}(-1))$$

like i_C above, because any extension of $\Lambda^{\langle -\rangle}$ by $M(-1)$ realized by a GMA map

$$E^{\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{D}} \to \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & M \\ 0 & \Lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

induces a trivial extension of G_p-representations. The same argument as above shows that i_B is surjective. □

Now, we prove Proposition 4.1.2.

Proof. We will use the Yoneda lemma for finitely generated Λ-modules to determine B^{red} and C^{red}. Indeed, B^{red} and C^{red} are finitely generated by the fact that $E_{\bar{D}}$ is a finite $R_{\bar{D}}$-module (see §3.2), and the construction of $E_{\bar{D}}^{\mathrm{red}}$.

Let's begin with B^{red}. Let M be an arbitrary finitely generated Λ-module. We will use the spectral sequence of Proposition 2.2.1

$$\mathrm{Ext}^p_\Lambda(H^{3-q}(\Lambda^\#(2)), M) \implies H^{p+q}_{(c)}(M^{\langle - \rangle}(-1)).$$

From [WWE15, Cor. 6.3.1], we have

$$H^0(\Lambda^\#(2)) \cong 0, \qquad H^1(\Lambda^\#(2)) \cong 0, \qquad H^2(\Lambda^\#(2)) \cong X_\chi(1).$$

The spectral sequence degenerates to yield a functorial isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(X_\chi(1), M) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1_{(c)}(M^{\langle - \rangle}(-1)).$$

From this and (4.1.6), we see that $X_\chi(1)$ and B^{red} represent the same functor $M \mapsto H^1_{(c)}(M^{\langle - \rangle}(-1))$. Then the Yoneda lemma implies that $X_\chi(1) \xrightarrow{\sim} B^{\mathrm{red}}$.

To calculate C^{red}, we first use the spectral sequence

$$\mathrm{Ext}^p_\Lambda(H^{3-q}(\Lambda^\#(1)), \Lambda) \implies H^{p+q}_{(c)}(\Lambda^{\langle - \rangle}).$$

The following cohomology groups are computed in [WWE15, Cor. 6.3.1].

$$H^0(\Lambda^\#(1)) \cong 0, \qquad H^1(\Lambda^\#(1)) \cong \mathcal{E}_\theta, \qquad H^2(\Lambda^\#(1)) \cong X_\theta.$$

By Proposition 2.2.6, the assumption $X_\theta = 0$ makes this first spectral sequence degenerate, and we compute

$$H^1_{(c)}(\Lambda^{\langle - \rangle}) \cong 0, \qquad H^2_{(c)}(\Lambda^{\langle - \rangle}) \cong \mathfrak{X}^\#_{\chi^{-1}}(1), \qquad H^3_{(c)}(\Lambda^{\langle - \rangle}) \cong 0.$$

Now let M be any finitely generated Λ-module, and apply the spectral sequence

$$\mathrm{Ext}^p_\Lambda(H^{3-q}_{(c)}(\Lambda^{\langle - \rangle}), M) \implies H^{p+q}(M^\#(1)),$$

which degenerates to yield $\mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(\mathfrak{X}^\#_{\chi^{-1}}(1), M) \cong H^1(M^\#(1))$. As above, using (4.1.7) and the Yoneda lemma, we obtain $\mathfrak{X}^\#_{\chi^{-1}}(1) \xrightarrow{\sim} C^{\mathrm{red}}$. □

4.2. **A version of Wiles's numerical criterion.** Having controlled $\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2$ in terms of Iwasawa class groups, we will now make use of a version of Wiles's numerical criterion [Wil95, Appendix] to prove our $R = T$-theorem. We thank Eric Urban for suggesting that the numerical criterion might be used to improve an earlier version. We follow the exposition of [dSRS97].

Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathfrak{H} \\ & \searrow^{\pi_{R^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}}}} & \downarrow \pi \\ & & \Lambda \end{array}$$

where ϕ arises from Proposition 3.5.3. In this situation, de Smit, Rubin and Schoof prove the following theorem on the way to giving a proof of Wiles's criterion.

Theorem 4.2.1. [dSRS97, Thm. of §3 (pg. 9)] *The map ϕ is an isomorphism of complete intersections if and only if $\phi(\mathrm{Fitt}_{R^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}}}(\mathcal{J})) \not\subset \mathfrak{m}_\Lambda \mathfrak{H}$.*

We will use this theorem to show that ϕ is an isomorphism under the assumption that $X_\theta = 0$. The proof is inspired by the proof of Criteria I in [dSRS97]. We first prove some preliminary results. The notation 'Fitt' that appears in the theorem refers to Fitting ideals, which are reviewed in [dSRS97, §1]. We will make frequent use of the following well known properties of Fitting ideals (see [dSRS97, Prop. 1.1]).

Lemma 4.2.2. *Let A be a ring, M a finitely presented A-module, and B an A-algebra. Then:*

(1) $\mathrm{Fitt}_B(M \otimes_A B) = \mathrm{Fitt}_A(M) \cdot B$.
(2) $\mathrm{Fitt}_A(M) \subset \mathrm{Ann}_A(M)$.

Before stating the next lemma, we summarize some known results about the Eisenstein ideals $\mathcal{I}$ and I (see [WWE15, Prop. 3.2.5 and Lem. 3.2.9]): the natural map $\mathcal{I} \to I$ is an isomorphism, $\mathfrak{h}/I \cong \Lambda/\xi$, and $\mathrm{Ann}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathcal{I}) = \ker(\mathfrak{H} \to \mathfrak{h})$. In particular, I is a faithful $\mathfrak{h}$-module.

Lemma 4.2.3. *We have $\pi(\mathrm{Fitt}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathcal{I})) = \mathrm{Fitt}_\Lambda(I/I^2) \subset (\xi)$ as ideals of Λ.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.2.2(1), we have

$$\pi(\mathrm{Fitt}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathcal{I})) = \mathrm{Fitt}_\Lambda(\mathcal{I} \otimes_{\mathfrak{H}} \Lambda).$$

Since $\mathcal{I} \otimes_{\mathfrak{H}} \Lambda = \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2 \cong I/I^2$, we have $\pi(\mathrm{Fitt}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathcal{I})) = \mathrm{Fitt}_\Lambda(I/I^2)$.

Since I is a faithful $\mathfrak{h}$-module, Lemma 4.2.2(2) implies that $\mathrm{Fitt}_{\mathfrak{h}}(I) = 0$. Applying Lemma 4.2.2(1), we have $\mathrm{Fitt}_{\mathfrak{h}/I}(I/I^2) = 0$. Recalling that $\mathfrak{h}/I \cong \Lambda/\xi$, another application of the same lemma gives $\mathrm{Fitt}_\Lambda(I/I^2) \subset (\xi)$. □

Proposition 4.2.4. *Assume that $X_\theta = 0$. Then the Λ-modules $X_\chi(1), \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2, \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2$, and I/I^2 are all isomorphic and they all have Fitting ideal over Λ equal to (ξ).*

Remark 4.2.5. Sharifi has studied a map from class groups to I/I^2 similar to the one that appears in the following proof; see the map of [Sha07, Thm. 5.2].

Proof. From (4.1.1), we have a surjections

$$B^{\mathrm{red}} \otimes_\Lambda C^{\mathrm{red}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2 \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} I/I^2. \tag{4.2.6}$$

By Proposition 4.1.2, we have $B^{\mathrm{red}} \cong X_\chi(1)$ and $C^{\mathrm{red}} \cong \mathfrak{X}^{\#}_{\chi^{-1}}(1)$, and so by Proposition 2.2.6, we have $C^{\mathrm{red}} \simeq \Lambda$. Hence we have a surjection

$$\Theta : X_\chi(1) \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2.$$

But by the previous lemma, $\mathrm{Fitt}_\Lambda(I/I^2) \subset (\xi) = \mathrm{char}_\Lambda(X_\chi(1))$. This implies that the $\ker(\Theta)$ is finite (see [Wak15a, Lem. A.7] for example), and hence $\ker(\Theta) = 0$, since $X_\chi(1)$ has no finite submodule by Ferrero-Washington [FW79]. Thus Θ is an isomorphism, and therefore so are all of the maps in (4.2.6).

Since the modules are all isomorphic, it suffices to compute $\mathrm{Fitt}_\Lambda(X_\chi(1))$, which is well-known to be (ξ). Indeed, by Ferrero-Washington and Lemma 2.2.5, $X_\chi(1)$ has projective dimension 1. Therefore the ideal $\mathrm{Fitt}_\Lambda(X_\chi(1))$ is principal. This implies $\mathrm{Fitt}_\Lambda(X_\chi(1)) = \mathrm{char}_\Lambda(X_\chi(1))$ (see [Wak15a, Lem. A.6], for example) which is (ξ) by definition. □

Lemma 4.2.7. *We have $\mathrm{Ann}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathcal{I}) = \ker(\mathfrak{H} \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{h})$, and, in particular, $\mathrm{Ann}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathcal{I}) \not\subset \mathfrak{m}_\Lambda \mathfrak{H}$. The restriction of π to $\mathrm{Ann}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathcal{I})$ induces an isomorphism*

$$\pi|_{\mathrm{Ann}} : \mathrm{Ann}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathcal{I}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\xi).$$

Proof. That $\mathrm{Ann}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathcal{I}) = \ker(\mathfrak{H} \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{h})$ and the fact that $\pi|_{\mathrm{Ann}}$ is an isomorphism follows from [WWE15, Prop. 3.2.5]. To see that $\mathrm{Ann}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathcal{I}) \not\subset \mathfrak{m}_\Lambda \mathfrak{H}$, note that $\mathfrak{H} \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ is a surjection of free Λ-modules of distinct rank, and so $\mathrm{Ann}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathcal{I}) = \ker(\mathfrak{H} \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{h})$ is a non-zero Λ-free direct summand of $\mathfrak{H}$. □

Theorem 4.2.8. *Assume that $X_\theta = 0$. Then $\phi : R^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}} \to \mathfrak{H}$ is an isomorphism of complete intersections.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.2.1 it suffices to show that

$$\phi(\mathrm{Fitt}_{R^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}}}(\mathcal{J})) \not\subset \mathfrak{m}_\Lambda \mathfrak{H}.$$

By Lemma 4.2.2(1) and Proposition 4.2.4, we have

$$\pi_{R^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}}}(\mathrm{Fitt}_{R^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}}}(\mathcal{J})) = \mathrm{Fitt}_\Lambda(\mathcal{J} \otimes_{R^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}}} \Lambda) = \mathrm{Fitt}_\Lambda(\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2) = (\xi).$$

On the other hand, Lemma 4.2.2(2) implies $\mathrm{Fitt}_{R^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}}}(\mathcal{J}) \subset \mathrm{Ann}_{R^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}}}(\mathcal{J})$, and, since $\phi|_{\mathcal{J}} : \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{I}$ is surjective, we have $\phi(\mathrm{Ann}_{R^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}}}(\mathcal{J})) \subset \mathrm{Ann}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathcal{I})$. This implies that $\phi(\mathrm{Fitt}_{R^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}}}(\mathcal{J})) \subset \mathrm{Ann}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathcal{I})$. Now we have

$$\pi_{R^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}}}(\mathrm{Fitt}_{R^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}}}(\mathcal{J})) = \pi(\phi(\mathrm{Fitt}_{R^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}}}(\mathcal{J}))) = \pi|_{\mathrm{Ann}}(\phi(\mathrm{Fitt}_{R^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}}}(\mathcal{J}))).$$

But we know that $\pi_{R^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}}}(\mathrm{Fitt}_{R^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}}}(\mathcal{J})) = (\xi)$, and $\pi(\mathrm{Ann}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathcal{I})) = (\xi)$, so we have

$$\pi|_{\mathrm{Ann}}(\phi(\mathrm{Fitt}_{R^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}}}(\mathcal{J}))) = \pi|_{\mathrm{Ann}}(\mathrm{Ann}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathcal{I})).$$

Since π_{Ann} is an isomorphism by Lemma 4.2.7, we conclude that $\phi(\mathrm{Fitt}_{R^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}}}(\mathcal{J})) = \mathrm{Ann}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathcal{I})$. It then follows from Lemma 4.2.7 that $\phi(\mathrm{Fitt}_{R^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}}}(\mathcal{J})) \not\subset \mathfrak{m}_\Lambda \mathfrak{H}$. □

Remark 4.2.9. This answers in the affirmative a question of Sharifi [Sha09, §5] whether $\mathfrak{H}$ is Gorenstein when $X_\theta = 0$. As noted in *loc. cit.*, it follows from work of Ohta that $\mathfrak{H}$ is Gorenstein when $\mathfrak{X}_\theta = 0$, and so our result improves on Ohta's. It was proven in [Wak15b, Thm. 1.2] that $\mathfrak{H}$ is Gorenstein when $X_\theta = 0$ under the additional assumption of Sharifi's conjecture.

5. Applications

5.1. **Toward Sharifi's conjecture.** We have the following immediate corollary.

Corollary 5.1.1. *Assume that $X_\theta = 0$ and that $X_\chi(1)$ is cyclic. Then the ideals $\mathcal{J} \subset R^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\bar{D}}$, $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathfrak{H}$ and $I \subset \mathfrak{h}$ are all principal, and both $\mathfrak{H}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ are complete intersections.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.2.4 we have $X_\chi(1) \simeq \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2 \cong \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2 \cong I/I^2$, and so, if $X_\chi(1)$ is cyclic, then each ideal is principal by Nakayama's lemma. Since I is faithful as an $\mathfrak{h}$-module, it must be generated by a non-zero divisor. Then since $\mathfrak{h}/I \cong \Lambda/\xi$ is complete intersection and I is generated by a regular sequence, $\mathfrak{h}$ is complete intersection (see [BH93, Thm. 2.3.4(a), pg. 75], for example). Theorem 4.2.8 implies that $\mathfrak{H}$ is complete intersection. □

These results have applications to Sharifi's conjecture. Recall that Sharifi's conjecture states that two maps Υ and ϖ are isomorphisms [Sha11]. In [FK12, FKS14] this conjecture was refined to state that Υ and ϖ are mutually inverse. (See also [WWE15, §8.1] for a review of Sharifi's conjecture using the same notation as this paper.)

Corollary 5.1.2. *Consider the maps*

$$\Upsilon : X_\chi(1) \to H^-/IH^- \text{ and } \varpi : H^-/IH^- \to X_\chi(1)$$

defined by Sharifi. If $X_\theta = 0$, then Υ is an isomorphism. If, in addition, $X_\chi(1)$ is cyclic, then ϖ is an isomorphism as well. If, in further addition, ξ_χ has no multiple root, then they are mutual inverses.

Proof. By Theorem 4.2.8, if $X_\theta = 0$, then $\mathfrak{H}$ is Gorenstein. It is known that if $\mathfrak{H}$ is Gorenstein, then Υ is an isomorphism (see [Sha11, Prop. 4.10]). If $X_\chi(1)$ is cyclic, then the previous corollary implies that $\mathfrak{H}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ are complete intersections, and hence Gorenstein. The result now follows by work of Fukaya-Kato.

Indeed, since $\mathfrak{h}$ is Gorenstein and H^- is a dualizing module over $\mathfrak{h}$ (see [WWE15, Cor. 3.4.2]), we see that $H^-/IH^- \simeq \mathfrak{h}/I \cong \Lambda/\xi$, which has no p-torsion. Moreover, the fact that $\mathfrak{h}$ is Gorenstein implies the condition $C(\mathfrak{h})$ of Fukaya-Kato by [FK12, §7.2.10]. Then the final two claims follow from Theorems 7.2.8 and 7.2.7 of *loc. cit.*, respectively. □

5.2. **A new proof technique for residually reducible modularity.** In this subsection, we deduce from the main Theorem 4.2.8 a new proof of a known modularity result for ordinary Galois representations. This may be viewed as a verification that our notion of ordinary GMA representation in §3.4 can be usefully applied to ordinary Galois representations as they are usually known. We emphasize that from now on we use the word "ordinary" exclusively to refer to Definition 3.4.1.

Remark 5.2.1. Unlike the rest of this paper, we are not assuming the running assumptions about θ of §2.1 in this subsection. Rather, part of proving the main result of this section, Theorem 5.2.3 will be to show that the conditions of the theorem imply the running assumptions of §2.1. Nonetheless, we write characters of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{Np})/\mathbb{Q})$ and $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times \times (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ interchangeably, and write $\chi = \theta\omega^{-1}$ as usual.

One of the main results of Skinner and Wiles' work [SW99] is

Theorem 5.2.2 (Skinner-Wiles)**.** *Suppose that $\rho : G_\mathbb{Q} \to \mathrm{Aut}_F(V)$ is continuous, irreducible, and ramified at finitely many primes, where V is a 2-dimensional F-vector space, $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is a finite extension, and p is odd. Suppose that $\bar\rho^{ss} \simeq \omega^{-1} \oplus \theta^{-1}$, and that*

(1) $\chi|_{G_p} \neq 1$,

(2) $\rho|_{I_p} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_{\mathrm{cyc}}^{-1}|_{I_p} & 0 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$,

(3) $\det\rho = \tau\kappa_{\mathrm{cyc}}^{k-3}$ *is odd, where τ is a finite order character and $k \geq 2$.*

Then ρ comes from a modular form.

We can give a new proof of this result in certain cases, following directly from Theorem 4.2.8. Among the additional restrictions, the serious ones are

(iii) that ρ is a lift of "minimal level"

(iv) that a Vandiver conjecture type condition holds for the relevant isotypic parts of the class group

Theorem 5.2.3. *Choose some ρ as in Theorem 5.2.2, which determines θ. Write N for the tame modulus of θ. In addition to the conditions of Theorem 5.2.2, assume that*

(i) $p \nmid \phi(N)$ *and* $p \geq 5$*;*
(ii) θ *is ramified at* p *when* $N > 1$*;*
(iii) ρ *is ramified only at primes dividing* Np*;*
(iv) $X_\theta = 0$ *and, if* χ *is unramified at* p*, assume that* $X_{\theta^{-1}\omega^2} = 0$.

Then ρ *comes from a modular form.*

The proof of Theorem 5.2.3 relies on applying Theorem 4.2.8. In order to apply that theorem, we will need to relate condition (2) of Theorem 5.2.2 – which is the "ordinary" condition in [SW99] – to our ordinary condition on GMA representations and on pseudorepresentations, established in in [WWE15] and reviewed in §3. Here we think of ρ as $\rho : F[G_{\mathbb{Q},S}] \to \mathrm{End}_F(V)$, which is a Cayley-Hamilton representation with residual pseudorepresentation $\bar{D}$. Because running assumption (b) of §2.1 is the only running assumption needed to make sense of the ordinary condition on Cayley-Hamilton representations, we begin by noting that

Lemma 5.2.4. *Condition (1) of Theorem 5.2.2 is equivalent to condition (b) of §2.1. Moreover, when* θ *satisfies this condition, then the condition also holds for* $\theta' := \theta^{-1}\omega^2$.

Proof. The first statement follows immediately from class field theory. For θ', we have

$$\chi' := \theta'\omega^{-1} = \theta^{-1}\omega = \chi^{-1}.$$

So we see condition (1) of Theorem 5.2.2 holds for θ if and only if it holds for θ'. □

Now we discuss the ordinary condition as applied to ρ. The statement that $\bar{\rho}^{ss} = \omega^{-1} \oplus \theta^{-1}$ expresses that ρ has residual pseudorepresentation $\bar{D} = \psi(\omega^{-1} \oplus \theta^{-1})$. Consequently, the universal property of $E_{\bar{D}}$ (Proposition 3.4.4(1)) specifies a map $E_{\bar{D}} \to \mathrm{End}_F(V)$ factoring ρ. The images of the two canonical idempotents of $E_{\bar{D}}$ (the first corresponding to ω^{-1} and the second corresponding to θ^{-1}) give idempotents of $\mathrm{End}_F(V)$ that uniquely determine an isomorphism $\mathrm{End}_F(V) \xrightarrow{\sim} M_2(F)$. These are the matrix coordinates for which we test the criteria defining the ordinary condition in Definition 3.4.1, namely, that $\rho|_{G_p}$ is lower triangular and has a twist-unramified 1-dimensional quotient representation.

For ρ as in Theorem 5.2.2, let $\rho' = \rho \otimes \chi$. Then we have

$$\overline{\rho'}^{ss} \cong \omega^{-1}\chi \oplus \theta^{-1}\chi = \omega^{-1}\theta \oplus \omega^{-1} = \theta'^{-1} \oplus \omega^{-1},$$

so residual pseudorepresentation of ρ' is $\bar{D}' := \psi(\theta'^{-1} \oplus \omega^{-1})$. Since θ and θ' satisfy condition (b) of §2.1 by Lemma 5.2.4, our definition of ordinary makes sense for both ρ and ρ'.

Here is the reason we have defined ρ'.

Lemma 5.2.5. *Let* ρ *be as in Theorem 5.2.2 and also satisfying condition (iii) of Theorem 5.2.3. If* χ *is ramified at* p*, then* ρ *is ordinary. If* χ *is not ramified at* p*, then either* ρ *or* $\rho \otimes \chi$ *is ordinary.*

Remark 5.2.6. We have assumed that ρ satisfies condition (iii) of Theorem 5.2.3 so that ρ factors through $G_{\mathbb{Q},S}$, as opposed to $G_{\mathbb{Q},S'}$ for some finite set $S' \supset S$ of places where ρ is ramified. Then we can apply our notion of ordinary verbatim. However, our notion of ordinary can be applied to GMA representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q},S''}$ for any S'' containing p, so this restriction does not reflect a limitation of the theory.

Proof. First assume that χ is ramified at p, so $\theta|_{I_p} \not\simeq \omega|_{I_p}$. In the isomorphism $\mathrm{End}_F(V) \xrightarrow{\sim} M_2(F)$ discussed above, recall that recall that the $(1,1)$-coordinate corresponds to ω^{-1} and the $(2,2)$-coordinate corresponds to θ^{-1}, as required. Since $\overline{\kappa_{\mathrm{cyc}}} = \omega$, we see that condition (2) of Theorem 5.2.2 implies that $\rho|_{I_p}$ is lower triangular.

Lemma 5.2.7. *If $\rho|_{I_p}$ is lower triangular, then $\rho|_{G_p}$ is lower triangular.*

Proof. Firstly we claim that the two characters α_1, α_2 that comprise the Jordan-Holder factors of $\rho|_{I_p}$ are distinct. This follows from conditions (2) and (3) of Theorem 5.2.2, because they stipulate that $\alpha_1 \simeq \kappa_{\mathrm{cyc}}^{-1}|_{I_p}$ while $\alpha_2 = \tau\kappa_{\mathrm{cyc}}^{k-2}|_{I_p}$ where $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ and τ is a finite order character.

With the claim established, it follows that the image of $F[I_p]$ in $M_2(F)$ is either the lower triangular subalgebra or the diagonal subalgebra (isomorphic to $F \times F$). Because $I_p \subset G_p$ is a normal subgroup, the image of G_p in $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ must normalize these subalgebras.

In the case that $\rho(F[I_p])$ is the lower triangular subalgebra, then $\rho(G_p)$ is also lower triangular because the normalizer of a Borel subgroup is the Borel subgroup itself.

In the case that $\rho(F[I_p])$ is the diagonal subalgebra, then $\rho(G_p)$ is contained in a Cartain subgroup, and we see that $\rho(G_p)$ is lower triangular if and only if it is diagonal. In the case that it is not diagonal, it follows from Clifford theory that (α_1, α_2) is a Frobenius-conjugate pair. Because Frobenius acts trivially on the abelianization of wild inertia (which is clear from local class field theory) and the image of wild inertia under $\kappa_{\mathrm{cyc}}^{-1}$ is of finite index in $\kappa_{\mathrm{cyc}}^{-1}(I_p)$, we have that $\alpha_1\alpha_2^{-1}$ has finite order. This would imply that $k = 1$, which we have ruled out. □

We have now satisfied condition (1) of Definition 3.4.1, which states that $\rho|_{G_p}$ is lower triangular. Condition (2) of Definition 3.4.1 is clear.

Now assume that χ is unramified at p. Then, since $\theta|_{I_p} \simeq \omega|_{I_p}$, it is not clear whether the $(1,1)$-coordinate of ρ corresponds to ω^{-1} or to θ^{-1}. If it corresponds to ω^{-1}, then the same argument as above shows that $\rho|_{G_p}$ is lower triangular and ρ is ordinary. Otherwise, ρ is upper triangular in these coordinates, so we consider ρ'. Identifying the underlying vector space V for ρ and ρ', the new isomorphism $\mathrm{End}_F(V) \xrightarrow{\sim} M_2(F)$ is the conjugate by $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ of that for ρ', making $\rho'|_{G_p}$ lower triangular and consequently ordinary. □

The following lemma prepares us to apply Theorem 4.2.8 to prove Theorem 5.2.3.

Lemma 5.2.8. *Assume that θ, N, and p satisfy the conditions imposed by Theorems 5.2.2 and Theorem 5.2.3. Then θ, N, and p satisfy the running assumptions of §2.1. Also, if χ is unramified at p, then θ' satisfies these running assumptions.*

Proof. The conditions $p \nmid N\phi(N)$ and $p \geq 5$ imposed in §2.1 are implied by condition (i) of Theorem 5.2.3 and the definition of N as the tame level of θ.

We have already showed in Lemma 5.2.4 that θ and θ' satisfy condition (b) of §2.1. Thus it remains to verify conditions (a) and (c).

Suppose that $N = 1$. We claim that the existence of ρ implies that θ cannot be 1 or ω^2. Indeed, in these cases, $\chi = \omega^{\pm 1}$, and Stickelberger's theorem implies that $X_\chi = 0$ (see e.g. [Was82, Prop. 6.16 and Thm. 6.17, pg. 102], and note that $B_2 = \frac{1}{6}$). When $X_\chi = 0$, the surjection (4.2.6) shows that the reducibility ideal

$\mathcal{J}$ of $R_{\bar{D}}^{\mathrm{ord}}$ vanishes. This means that all ordinary pseudodeformations of $\bar{D}$ are reducible, contradicting the existence of the irreducible $\psi(\rho)$. Hence, if $N = 1$, then θ is primitive (so (a) is true) and $\theta \neq \omega^2$ (so (c) is true).

Now suppose $N > 1$, making (c) satisfied. Assumption (i) implies that our running assumption that $p \nmid N\phi(N)$ is satisfied. We have that θ is primitive of modulus either N or Np by definition of N. Assumption (ii) rules out the case that θ is primitive of modulus N, (a) holds.

When χ is unramified at p, we wish to show that θ' satisfies the running assumptions. Since χ is odd, the assumption that χ is unramified at p implies that $N > 1$. As $\theta' = \theta^{-1}\omega^2$, the conductor of θ' is either N or Np. Since $\chi' = \chi^{-1}$ is unramified at p, this implies that $\theta' = \chi\omega$ is ramified at p, making its conductor Np and satisfying assumption (a). □

Proof of Theorem 5.2.3. In Lemma 5.2.8 we checked that the running assumptions of the paper about θ, θ', N, and p are satisfied. By Lemma 5.2.5, we can break the proof into two cases.

Case 1: ρ is ordinary. We consider the case where ρ is ordinary. In this case $\psi(\rho)$ is an ordinary pseudorepresentation, because ρ is an ordinary (GMA) representation inducing it. Therefore there is a unique map $\nu : R_{\bar{D}}^{\mathrm{ord}} \to F$ corresponding to $\psi(\rho)$. Assumption (iv) allows us to apply Theorem 4.2.8 so that $\phi : R_{\bar{D}}^{\mathrm{ord}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{H}$. Then the ordinary p-adic modular eigenform f determined by $\nu \circ \phi^{-1} : \mathfrak{H} \to F$ satisfies $\psi(\rho_f) = \psi(\rho)$, which implies $\rho_f \simeq \rho$ since ρ is irreducible. Condition (3) implies that this modular form has weight $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$; consequently, f is classical by [Hid86, Thm. I], and ρ is modular.

Case 2: χ is unramified at p and ρ' is ordinary. We want to apply Theorem 4.2.8 to $\bar{D}'$ now. Assumption (iv) allows us to apply Theorem 4.2.8, so that there is an isomorphism $\phi' : R_{\bar{D}'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{H}'$, where $\mathfrak{H}'$ is $\mathfrak{H}$ for θ'. Then we have a unique map $\nu' : R_{\bar{D}'}^{\mathrm{ord}} \to F$ corresponding to $\psi(\rho')$, and the rest of the argument is the same as above. □

Remark 5.2.9. We see in the proof that for each ρ, only one of the two groups X_θ and $X_{\theta^{-1}\omega^2}$ must be zero. Moreover, if χ is ramified, we can be certain that it is X_θ that must be 0. Skinner and Wiles [SW97] proved the modularity of ρ such that χ is ramified under slightly different assumptions. Among their assumptions is that $X_{\chi^{-1}} = 0$. This is equivalent to $\mathfrak{X}_\theta = 0$ by the reflection principle, and so it is a much stronger assumption than our assumption that $X_\theta = 0$.

References

[BC09] Joël Bellaïche and Gaëtan Chenevier. Families of Galois representations and Selmer groups. *Astérisque*, (324):xii+314, 2009.

[BH93] Winfried Bruns and Jürgen Herzog. *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, volume 39 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.

[dSRS97] Bart de Smit, Karl Rubin, and René Schoof. Criteria for complete intersections. In *Modular forms and Fermat's last theorem (Boston, MA, 1995)*, pages 343–356. Springer, New York, 1997.

[FK12] Takako Fukaya and Kazuya Kato. On conjectures of Sharifi. Preprint, 2012.

[FKS14] Takako Fukaya, Kazuya Kato, and Romyar Sharifi. Modular symbols in Iwasawa theory. In *Iwasawa Theory 2012*, volume 7 of *Contributions in Mathematical and Computational Sciences*, pages 177–219. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2014.

[FW79] Bruce Ferrero and Lawrence C. Washington. The Iwasawa invariant μ_p vanishes for abelian number fields. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 109(2):377–395, 1979.

[Hid86] Haruzo Hida. Galois representations into $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p[[X]])$ attached to ordinary cusp forms. *Invent. Math.*, 85(3):545–613, 1986.

[Jan89] Uwe Jannsen. Iwasawa modules up to isomorphism. In *Algebraic number theory*, volume 17 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 171–207. Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1989.

[Nek06] Jan Nekovář. Selmer complexes. *Astérisque*, (310):viii+559, 2006.

[Oht05] Masami Ohta. Companion forms and the structure of p-adic Hecke algebras. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 585:141–172, 2005.

[Sha07] Romyar T. Sharifi. Iwasawa theory and the Eisenstein ideal. *Duke Math. J.*, 137(1):63–101, 2007.

[Sha09] Romyar T. Sharifi. Cup products and L-values of cusp forms. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.*, 5(1):339–348, 2009.

[Sha11] Romyar Sharifi. A reciprocity map and the two-variable p-adic L-function. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 173(1):251–300, 2011.

[SW97] C. M. Skinner and A. J. Wiles. Ordinary representations and modular forms. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 94(20):10520–10527, 1997.

[SW99] C. M. Skinner and A. J. Wiles. Residually reducible representations and modular forms. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (89):5–126 (2000), 1999.

[Wak15a] Preston Wake. Eisenstein Hecke algebras and conjectures in Iwasawa theory. *Algebra Number Theory*, 9(1):53–75, 2015.

[Wak15b] Preston Wake. Hecke algebras associated to Λ-adic modular forms. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 700:113–128, 2015.

[Was82] Lawrence C. Washington. *Introduction to cyclotomic fields*, volume 83 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.

[WE15] Carl Wang Erickson. Algebraic families of Galois representations and potentially semi-stable pseudodeformation rings. arXiv:1501.05629v2 [math.NT], 2015.

[Wei94] Charles A. Weibel. *An introduction to homological algebra*, volume 38 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

[Wil95] Andrew Wiles. Modular elliptic curves and Fermat's last theorem. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 141(3):443–551, 1995.

[WWE15] Preston Wake and Carl Wang Erickson. Pseudo-modularity and Iwasawa theory. arXiv:1505.05128v2 [math.NT], 2015.

UCLA Mathematics Department, Box 951555, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
E-mail address: wake@math.ucla.edu

Mathematics Department, Brandeis University, 415 South Street, MS 050, Waltham, MA 02453, USA
E-mail address: cwe@brandeis.edu